

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,909	03/10/2004	David Baran	GBTV 1001-1	1626
22470 HAYNES BEI	7590 10/01/2009 FFEL & WOLFELD LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 366		STANLEY, MARK P		
HALF MOON	BAY, CA 94019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2427	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,909	BARAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MARK P. STANLEY	2427		

	WART CONTRACT	2427					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 5-7, 9-11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				

11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: note.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427 /Mark P Stanley/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427 NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments do not merely cancel claims, adopt examiner suggestions, remove issues for appeal, or in some other way require only a cursory review by the examiner. Accordingly, further search and